

Middlesex University London		
32 Art and Design: History, Practice and Theory		
Ô`¦æci}*ÁŠ^[}ÁÕ[`àKÁÒ¢c^}åi}*ÁÕ [àæ ÁW}å^¦∙cæ}åi}*ÁÕ[`àq•ÁŒ¦cÉÁ		
Benefitting the Museum and Gallery Sector, Arts Audiences and the Commercial Art World		
2000-2017		00-2017
	Professor of Art	
	and Critical Theory (Prof. Emeritus since	
Jon Bird	30/09/2017)	01/09/1981 . 30/09/2017
2015-2018		
		No
Recognised as the leading authority on the art of Leon Golub (1922-2004)		
has resulted in impacts in the following areas: (1) Extending awareness and appreciation of		
Õ[`àq•Á]æi}ci}*Ác[ÁæÁ* [àælÁæ`åi^}&^Ác@¦[`*@Á&[}cliàčci}*Ác[ÁGolub retrospectives æckŠ[}å[}q•Á		
Serpentine Gallery; The Met Breuer, New York; and Fondazione Prada, Milan; (2) Enhancing		
δ^{+} δ^{+} δ^{-} δ^{-} δ^{-} δ^{-} δ^{+} δ^{+		
the body relevant to the present, through curating exhibitions at the National Portrait Gallery; and		
Hauser & Wirth, London; (3) Impact on the commercial art world, through providing written		
evidence and expert testimonial l/æ@}*kc[lÃÕ[]`àq•l, [l\lin an American civil art fraud case, after		
evidence and expert testimonial infacts without any an American civil all hadd case, alter p		

$$\begin{split} & (\hat{A}_{a})^{*} \otimes^{A}_{a} \otimes^{A$$

undertaking forensic assessment of works of art.



at

from his own collection, acted as a consultant, and wrote an essay for the catalogue, ±ŒÅÞ^, ÅŸ[!\Å Story,q which traced the background to Óå¦åq•Årelationship with the artist, and describes the ælख़•q•Å studio working environment, his close relationship to his wife, the artist Nancy Spero, and the à^*å}}å}*•Å[-ÅÓå¦åq•Åå}ç[|ç^{{^}c\å}Å@^!\ælctĂÓæ&*![`}åÅ!^•^æl&@Å[r the essay came from personal diaries and the ongoing recorded interviews Bird conducted with Golub over a twenty year period . As key artists in the formation of the post-YælÅÔ@å&æ*[űT[}•c^\\AÜ[•c^\\dü"]*i

Spero were two of the fourteen artists included in the award-winning exhibition

at the Smart Museum (2016), the first exhibition in America to explore the artists in this important group, and their place in the history of postwar American Art. Bird contributed an essay to the catalogue, ±T^c@Áæ}åÁV¦æ}•-[¦{æci[}Áā}Ác@^ÁŒłcÁ[-ÁŠ^[]ÁÕ[]`àÁ

, examining $\tilde{O}[|`aq=hi]_{-1}^{+} &A[] hc@^htT[] ec^{hi}U[ec^{hi}Eciecehc@]['*@h@i=h-i*`ii]*h[-hc@^htEiz*i&h^h^{[}[hzci]] ec^{hi}Ehc@^htEiz*i&h^h^{[}[hzci]] ec^{hi}Ehz=hzci] ec^{hi}Ehz=hzci]$

In 2016, Bird curated the exhibition

$$\begin{split} & \tilde{S}[a_{1}^{\circ}A \models aci[a_{1}^{\circ}A \models$$

, which is the most complete visual compilation of the series to date. In parallel, he $x \cdot k = |\dot{k}| \cdot |\dot{k}$

Bird, J. (2000). [Authored book]. . 1st ed. London: Reaktion Books; and Bird, J. (2011). [Authored book]. Leon Golub: Echoes of the Real. 2nd ed. (extended). London: Reaktion Books. Available Middlesex on request. . Curated by Jon Bird at the Centro Bird, J. (2011), [Exhibition]. National Reina Sofia Museum, Palacio de Velázguez, Parque del Retiro, Madrid; and Bird, J. . Madrid: Turner/Museo Reina Sofia. ISBN 978-(ed.) (2011). [Exhibition Catalogue]. 84-7506-975-3. Available Middlesex on request. Bird, J. (2015). [Chapter in book]. ±ŒÁÞ^ , ÅŸ [¦\Á•c [¦ˆ@ÅQ} KÁÒ } å^ \à^ E., Blanchflower, M. and . [Exhibition Catalogue]. London: Serpentine Larner M. (eds.), Galleries | Koenig Books, pp. 96-104. ISBN 978-1-908617-26-2; ISBN 978-3-86335-718-4. Available Middlesex on request. Bird, J. (2016). [Chapter in book]. $\pm T^{c@A} a^{A} V_{a} = [{ aci } Ai Ac@^AC_{cA} - AS^{A} Ac(A) A$ Corbett, J., Dempsey, J., Moss J., and Born, R. A. (eds.), . [Exhibition Catalogue]. Univ. of Chicago Press, pp. 58-69. ISBN 978-0-935573-48-0. Available Middlesex on request. The publication was awarded the Association of $\mathbb{C}_{c}^{T} = \Lambda^{T} \{ \hat{AO}^{T} = \hat{AO}$ Bird, J. (2016). [Exhibition] , curated by Jon Bird. National Portrait Gallery, London. 18 March . 25 September 2016. Listed in REF2: , practice as research output. Bird, J. (2016). [Edited book]. , London: Reaktion Books. ISBN 978-1-78023-582-0. Listed in REF2: practice as research output.



Through &[||æà[¦æɑi[}Å jac@Å c@^Å { `•^` { \hat{A} æ}åÅ *æ||^¦^Å •^&c[¦ÊÅ Óå¦åq•Å ¦^•^æ¦&@Å @æ•Å ^¢c^}å^åÅ awareness and appreciation of



For the at the Fondazione Prada, Milan curated by Germano Celant (October 2017 . January 2018) . the first large



of Contemporary Art. With one of the largest private holdings of modern and contemporary art, Andrew Hall has a large collection of Golub works, many of which have been loaned to exhibitions of the artist including the Reina Sofia retrospective and the NPG. When the Hall Foundation provided images of all the paintings in their proposed exhibition, Bird realised that a significant number were of doubtful provenance. This began an investigative process which culminated in a court case over the suspect works at the United States District Court, Concord, New Hampshire (2018). The case became a significant example of contemporary art forgery and received extensive coverage in the American press and international art journals including the New York , , @ã&@Áå^cæil+ÁÓã¦åq+Á&[} clǎà čá[} Ác[Á] | [&^^åã } * +ÈÁŒcÁc@^Á [če^cÊÁÓã¦åÁ [æ+Áãå^ } cǎ-á^åÁà ^Á Times c@^APæ||AØ[`}åæci[}Aæ•A±c@^A、['|åAæ`c@['ic^A[}Ac@^Aæ'cA[-AŠ^[}AÕ[|`àqA and was contracted to examine the suspect paintings. Key to the proceedings was Oila(•Aa^)A\}[[[^a*^ - developed via his overall body of research - [-AO [|`àq•A±•à* }æc` !^A •c^ |^q: c@^Aælca•q• technique in applying acrylic paints and lacquer; understanding of human anatomy in representing bodies under duress; and attention to clothing, weaponry and gesture. Over a two-day period in September 2017, Bird made a detailed study of eighteen paintings (held in a secure art warehouse in New Jersey), which included the removal of frames, photographic documentation of the painted and reverse surfaces, and constant reference to the authorised Golub archive. Bird was required to produce a Report describing the process of close analysis and the reasons for his conclusions . that all the works $^{\Lambda}$. This Report was submitted to the lawyers for both the Prosecution and the Defence and was the subject of a Deposition and the primary document tabled during the actual court proceeding. A selection of the suspect works was displayed in court and over two days of explanation and cross-examination, Bird testified to the reasons for his judgement of the works as forgeries. As a result, the jury found in favour of Hall. The Director of